# **IOP**science

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Energy transfer upconversion in Gd<sub>3</sub>Ga<sub>5</sub>O<sub>12</sub>:Pr<sup>3+</sup>

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1993 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 5 6083

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/5/33/017)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.96 The article was downloaded on 11/05/2010 at 01:38

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

## Energy transfer upconversion in Gd<sub>3</sub>Ga<sub>5</sub>O<sub>12</sub>:Pr<sup>3+</sup>

J A Capobianco<sup>†</sup>, N Raspa<sup>†</sup>, A Monteil<sup>‡</sup> and M Malinowski<sup>§</sup>

† Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Concordia University, 1455 de Maisonneuve Boulevard West, Montreal, Québec, Canada, H3G 1M8

‡ Université de Lyon I, UA442 CNRS, 69622 Villeurbanne, France

§ Institute of Microelectronics and Optoelectronics, Koszykowa 75, 0062 Warsaw, Poland

Received 26 April 1993

Abstract. Excitation of  $Pr^{3+}$ -doped Gd<sub>3</sub>Ga<sub>5</sub>O<sub>12</sub> crystals at 580 nm ( ${}^{1}D_{2} \leftarrow {}^{3}H_{4}$ ) produces fluorescence at 486 nm ( ${}^{3}P_{0} \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{4}$ ) which is attributed to upconversion from interacting  $Pr^{3+}$  ions. Based on an analysis of the lifetimes of the levels  ${}^{3}P_{0}$ ,  ${}^{1}D_{2}$  and the induced fluorescence ( ${}^{3}P_{0} \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{4}$ ) we conclude that the mechanism responsible for the upconversion process is energy transfer. This mechanism arises from excitation annihilation involving pairs of ions in the  ${}^{3}H_{6}$  and  ${}^{1}D_{2}$  levels.

#### 1. Introduction

In recent years trivalent praseodymium has been the object of increasing interest, since it is an interesting laser activator. The trivalent praseodymium energy level spectrum contains a large number of metastable multiplets ( ${}^{3}P_{0,1,2}$ ,  ${}^{1}D_{2}$ ,  ${}^{1}G_{4}$ ) from which laser action has been demonstrated at various wavelengths from the visible to the infrared. Considerable attention has also been paid to the phenomenon of upconversion. Upconverted blue light emission ( ${}^{3}P_{0} \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{4}$ ) has been reported in Y<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>5</sub>O<sub>12</sub> [1], LaF<sub>3</sub> [2], and KYP<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub> [3] following orange-yellow excitation ( ${}^{1}D_{2} \leftarrow {}^{3}H_{4}$ ).

Stimulated emission by upconversion pumping was first reported by Johnson and Guggenheim [4] using infrared light from flash lamps to excite Yb<sup>3+</sup> ions in BaY<sub>2</sub>F<sub>8</sub> doubly doped with Yb<sup>3+</sup>/Er<sup>3+</sup>. Since this report numerous studies have been undertaken in order to achieve laser action via upconversion. Smart *et al* [5] have reported laser action of Pr<sup>3+</sup> doped fluorozirconate fibres in the blue (491 nm), green (520 nm) orange (605 nm) and red (655 nm) wavelength regions, pumping the <sup>1</sup>G<sub>4</sub> with wavelengths around 1.01  $\mu$ m and 835 nm. Upconversion lasers are potentially attractive short-wavelength sources which can be pumped with the red or near-infrared light produced by III–V semiconductor diode lasers.

Upconversion can be accomplished by several mechanisms: (i) sequential two-photon absorption; (ii) avalanche absorption; and (iii) energy transfer. The latter mechanism proceeds according to a scheme in which two ions excited in an intermediate state in close proximity are coupled by a non-radiative process in which an ion returns to the ground state while the other ion is promoted to the upper level. In most cases, these cross relaxation processes are based on electric dipole-dipole interactions.

In this paper we have undertaken a study of upconversion by the  $Pr^{3+}$  ion in the matrix  $Gd_3Ga_5O_{12}$ . We describe our results of orange-yellow to blue upconversion experiments by pumping the  ${}^{1}D_2 \leftarrow {}^{3}H_4$  transition (580 nm) in order to obtain emission from the



Figure 1. Emission spectrum (4 K) of the transition  ${}^{3}P_{0} \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{4}$  excited at 580 nm.

Figure 2. Decay profile (4 K) of the  ${}^{1}D_{2} \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{4}$  transition for Gd<sub>3</sub>Ga<sub>5</sub>O<sub>12</sub>:Pr<sup>3+</sup>. Emission is at 608 nm. and excitation at 580 nm.

 ${}^{3}P_{0} \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{4}$  transition at 486 nm. Investigation of the fluorescence dynamics provides evidence that upconversion occurs via energy transfer.

#### 2. Experimental details

The single crystal of  $Gd_3Ga_5O_{12}$  doped with 1%  $Pr^{3+}$  was grown using the Czochralski technique in an  $O_2$  atmosphere (flow rate 4.8 1 h<sup>-1</sup>). This was done in order to retard the rate of the forward reaction

$$Ga_2O_3 \longrightarrow Ga_2O + O_2$$

thus preventing the decomposition of  $Ga_2O_3$ . The  $Pr^{3+}$  ions enter substitutionally for  $Gd^{3+}$ . Samples were cut and all faces were optically polished.

The upconverted fluorescence spectrum was obtained by excitation at 580 nm with a tunable dye laser (Spectra Physics 375) operating with rhodamine 6G ( $10^{-3}$  mol dm<sup>-3</sup> in ethylene glycol) pumped by a Coherent CR-18, 20 W argon ion laser. The spectrum was recorded using a Jarrell-Ash 1-m Czerny Turner double monochromator using a maximum slit width of 130  $\mu$ m.

The fluorescence signal was monitored with an RCA-C 31034-02 photomultiplier in the photon counting mode and recorded under computer control using a Stanford SR 465 software data acquisition and analysis system. The data were acquired at liquid helium temperature (4 K) using a Janis ST-VP-4 continuous flow cryostat.



Figure 3. Decay profile (4 K) of the  ${}^{3}P_{0} \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{4}$  transition for  $Gd_{3}Ga_{5}O_{12}:Pr^{3+}$ . Emission is at 486 nm, and excitation at 580 nm.

Measurements of the fluorescence lifetimes were made using a tunable dye laser operating with Rhodamine 6G (580 nm excitation) pumped by a Molectron UV 1000  $N_2$  laser. The lifetimes were measured using a 1.5 m SOPRA monochromator, an EMI 96593 cooled photomultiplier and an INEL-MCA3/1 multichannel analyser.

#### 3. Results and discussion

Figure 1 shows the upconverted fluorescence spectrum for the transition  ${}^{3}P_{0} \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{4}$  at 4 K following orange-yellow excitation (580 nm) into the  ${}^{1}D_{2}$  level. The spectrum consists of a total of at least eight peaks. Knowing that the  ${}^{3}P_{0}$  level consists of a single Stark level  $({}^{3}P_{0}(\Gamma_{1}))$  one should theoretically observe, for a single crystal field site, a total of three peaks associated with the  $({}^{3}P_{0}(\Gamma_{1})) \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{4}(\Gamma_{3}, \Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{4})$  transitions. Clearly the additional lines in the emission spectrum must be due to a number of subsites (crystal field sites) differing slightly from one another that are all excited at 580 nm. This will be discussed in greater detail in a subsequent paper.

The upconversion process can proceed via two distinct mechanisms, either a direct twophoton absorption or energy transfer. Within the framework of existing theories [2, 6, 7], lifetime measurements provide invaluable insight into the mechanism that is operative.

Figures 2 and 3 show the decay profiles at 4 K of the  ${}^{1}D_{2}$  and  ${}^{3}P_{0}$  levels, following excitation at 580 nm ( ${}^{1}D_{2} \leftarrow {}^{3}H_{4}$ ). Temporal dependence of the  ${}^{1}D_{2} \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{4}$  emission is exponential with a decay time constant of 260  $\mu$ s. The  ${}^{3}P_{0} \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{4}$  decay profile is non-exponential, consisting of a long and a short component with decay time constants of



Figure 4. Decay profile (4 K) of the level  ${}^{1}D_{2} \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{4}$  transition for Gd<sub>3</sub>Ga<sub>5</sub>O<sub>12</sub>:Pr<sup>3+</sup>. Emission is at 608 nm, and excitation at 486 nm.

107 and 32  $\mu$ s respectively. A rise time of 4.4  $\mu$ s is observed in the upconverted decay profile of the <sup>3</sup>P<sub>0</sub> level.

Figures 4 and 5 show the decay profiles at 4 K of the  ${}^{1}D_{2} \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{4}$  and  ${}^{3}P_{0} \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{4}$  transitions respectively, excited at 486.3 nm ( ${}^{3}P_{0}$  level). The decay profile of the  ${}^{1}D_{2} \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{4}$  emission is exponential with a lifetime of 250  $\mu$ s; however, in contrast with the results shown in figure 2 a rise time of 14  $\mu$ s is observed. The rise time can be attributed to the decay from the  ${}^{3}P_{0}$  level to the  ${}^{1}D_{2}$  level. The decay profile for the  ${}^{3}P_{0} \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{4}$  emission is exponential with a lifetime of 14.1  $\mu$ s, in contrast with the non-exponential decay profile observed upon excitation at 580 nm. The results are summarized in table 1.

Level excited	Decay level monitored	Rise time $\tau_r$ ( $\mu$ s)	Decay time $\tau_d$ ( $\mu$ s)
<sup>3</sup> P <sub>0</sub>	<sup>1</sup> D <sub>2</sub>	14	250
<sup>3</sup> P0	<sup>3</sup> P0	_	14.1
$^{1}D_{2}$	$^{1}D_{2}$		260
$^{1}D_{2}$	<sup>3</sup> P <sub>0</sub>	4.4	32
			107

Table 1. Decay measurements of the <sup>3</sup>P<sub>0</sub> and <sup>1</sup>D<sub>2</sub> levels for Pr<sup>3+</sup> doped Gd<sub>3</sub>Ga<sub>5</sub>O<sub>12</sub>.

Based on the lifetime measurements, a direct two-photon absorption process is unlikely, since this mechanism would require that the upconverted fluorescence decay of the  ${}^{3}P_{0} \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{4}$  transition be identical to the lifetime of the directly excited  ${}^{3}P_{0}$  level. As shown in



Figure 5. Decay profile (4 K) of the level  ${}^{3}P_{0} \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{4}$  transition for Gd<sub>3</sub>Ga<sub>5</sub>O<sub>12</sub>:Pr<sup>3+</sup>. Emission is at 486 nm and excitation at 486 nm.

table 1, the observed lifetime of the induced fluorescence ( $\tau_{up}({}^{3}P_{0}) = 32 \ \mu s$ ) is more than twice the lifetime observed for the directly excited  ${}^{3}P_{0}$  ( $\tau({}^{3}P_{0}) = 14.1 \ \mu s$ ).

Further evidence that the direct two-photon absorption process is not operative can be obtained from the energy level diagram shown in figure 6 [8]. We observe that there are no levels lying at approximately twice the excitation energy above the ground state [6]. Absorption of phonons from the crystal lattice is also unlikely due to the large energy gaps involved. In the  $Gd_3Ga_5O_{12}$  crystal lattice doped with  $Pr^{3+}$ , the upconverted fluorescence is approximately 4000 cm<sup>-1</sup> above the excitation energy. The effective phonon density for YAG and LuAG doped with  $Pr^{3+}$  has been found to be between 200 and 800 cm<sup>-1</sup> [9]. Assuming the same values for  $Gd_3Ga_5O_{12}:Pr^{3+}$  this would require a minimum of five phonons to bridge the energy gap.

The other probable mechanism is the energy transfer upconversion (ETU) process, in which two nearby excited ions undergo energy transfer. It is not unreasonable to expect energy transfer between two neighbouring  $Pr^{3+}$  ions in the  $Gd_3Ga_5O_{12}$  matrix. We have calculated the average Pr-Pr nearest-neighbour distance to be 6.1 Å for the sample studied. This value is in good agreement with the value obtained for  $Y_3Al_5O_{12}$  where energy transfer has been observed [10].

The fluorescence lifetime results are reminiscent of those reported for  $Pr^{3+}$  in LaF<sub>3</sub> and LaCl<sub>3</sub> [11, 12]. Therefore, using the energy transfer upconversion model developed for  $Pr^{3+}$  ions in LaCl<sub>3</sub>, the process can be written as

$$|2\rangle + |1\rangle = |3\rangle + |0\rangle$$

where  $|0\rangle$ ,  $|1\rangle$ ,  $|2\rangle$ , and  $|3\rangle$  represent the Stark levels  ${}^{3}H_{4}$ ,  ${}^{3}H_{6}$ ,  ${}^{1}D_{2}$  and  ${}^{3}P_{0}$  respectively. A schematic representation for the ETU process is shown in figure 7. First, an ion is initially



Figure 6. Energy level diagram for Gd<sub>3</sub>Ga<sub>5</sub>O<sub>12</sub>:Pr<sup>3+</sup>.

excited from level  $|0\rangle$  to level  $|2\rangle$  by absorption of resonance energy, followed by decay to level  $|1\rangle$ . A second ion near the first is also excited from level  $|0\rangle$  to level  $|2\rangle$ . The two nearby ions undergo an annihilation interaction resulting in one ion in the ground state  $|0\rangle$ and the other in state  $|3\rangle$  where upconverted fluorescence occurs.

In the framework of the ETU process the time dependent rate equation describing the population of  $|3\rangle$  at time t is given by [12]

$$N_{3}(t) = (N_{0}AI_{0}/\tau_{2}^{-1})^{2}\sigma_{21}\sigma_{u}\left(\frac{\exp(-2t/\tau_{2}) - \exp(-t/\tau_{3})}{(\tau_{3}^{-1} - 2\tau_{2}^{-1})(\tau_{1}^{-1} - \tau_{2}^{-1})} - \tau_{2}^{-1}\frac{\exp(-t/\tau_{1})\exp(-t/\tau_{2}) - \exp(-t/\tau_{3})}{\tau_{1}^{-1}(\tau_{1}^{-1} - \tau_{2}^{-1})(\tau_{3}^{-1} - \tau_{1}^{-1} - \tau_{2}^{-1})} + \exp\frac{(-t/\tau_{3})}{\tau_{3}^{-1}\tau_{1}^{-1}}\right)$$
(1)

where A is a characteristic constant containing the oscillator strength for the  $|0\rangle \rightarrow |1\rangle$  transition,  $\sigma_{ij}$  is the transition probability rate constant of the initial state  $|i\rangle$  to the final state  $|j\rangle$ ,  $\sigma_{u}$  is the rate constant for the ETU process and  $\tau_{1}^{-1}$ ,  $\tau_{2}^{-1}$ , and  $\tau_{3}^{-1}$  are the decay rate constants of  $|1\rangle$ ,  $|2\rangle$ , and  $|3\rangle$  respectively.

The steady state population of  $|0\rangle$  at the time t is given by

$$N_3(0) = (N_0 A I_0)^2 \sigma_{21} \sigma_u / (\tau_2^{-1})^2 \tau_3^{-1} \tau_1^{-1}.$$
 (2)

The calculated time dependence of  $N_3(t)/N_3(0)$  for the upconversion process is shown in figure 8(*a*). This was calculated using the following values:  $\tau_1 = 14 \ \mu$ s (the lifetime of the <sup>3</sup>H<sub>6</sub> level),  $\tau_2 = 260 \ \mu$ s (the lifetime of the <sup>1</sup>D<sub>2</sub> level), and  $\tau_3 = 14.1 \ \mu$ s (the lifetime of the <sup>3</sup>P<sub>0</sub> level).



Figure 7. ETU mechanism.



Figure 8. (a) Calculated ETU decay  $(N_3(t)/N_3(0))$ for the  $|^1D_2\rangle + |^3H_6\rangle \rightarrow |^3P_0\rangle + |^3H_4\rangle$  process and (b) experimental decay of the upconverted  ${}^3P_0$ fluorescence.

The decay time calculated from the negative reciprocal of the slope of  $\ln[N_3(t)/N_3(0)]$  versus time is 40.6  $\mu$ s. This value is in good agreement with the experimental value, 32  $\mu$ s, obtained for the decay time of the upconverted  ${}^{3}P_{0} \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{4}$  fluorescence (figure 8((*b*)) induced by the 580 nm pump. This is consistent with the interpretation that upconversion occurs via energy transfer and that the process is adequately explained by the ETU model. Consequently the rise time of 4.4  $\mu$ s associated with the upconverted  ${}^{3}P_{0}$  fluorescence can be attributed to the time required to populate the  ${}^{3}H_{6}$  level.

#### 4. Conclusions

Upconverted blue light emission in  $Gd_3Ga_5O_{12}:Pr^{3+}$  is observed  $({}^{3}P_0 \rightarrow {}^{3}H_4)$  when the system undergoes orange-yellow excitation into the  ${}^{1}D_2$  manifold. A rise time was found to be associated with the  ${}^{3}P_0$  decay pattern showing that the  ${}^{3}P_0$  level is fed from lower-lying levels. This evidence points to an ETU process between two neighbouring ions. In this case, two neighbouring ions in close proximity are coupled by a non-radiative process in which one ion returns to the ground state manifold  ${}^{3}H_4$  while the ther ion is promoted to the upper level  ${}^{3}P_0$ . Time dependent rate equations derived for the ETU process were applied to the experimental decay data of the upconverted  ${}^{3}P_0$  fluorescence and a good fit was obtained, thus providing further evidence that upconversion is occurring via energy transfer.

### Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada, and the governments of France and Quebec under the Cooperation France-Quebec for financial support. We thank C Madeja, Université de Lyon, for providing us with the crystal.

### References

- Malta O L, Antic-Fidancev E, Lemaitre-Blaise M, Dexpert-Ghys J and Piriou B 1986 Chem. Phys. Lett. 129 557
- [2] Wright J C, Zalucha D J, Lauer H V, Cox D E and Fong F K 1973 J. Appl. Phys. 44 781
- [3] Malinowski M 1989 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 1 4673
- [4] Johnson L F and Guggenheim J 1971 Appl. Phys. Lett. 19 44
- [5] Smart R J, Hanna D C and Tropper A C 1991 Electron. Lett. 127 1307
- [6] Auzel F 1966 C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris 262 1016
- [7] Chivian J S 1975 Appl. Phys. Lett. 35 125
- [8] Raspa N 1992 PhD Thesis Concordia University
- [9] Ryabchenkov V V 1987 Sov. Phys.-Crystallogr. 32 855
- [10] Malinowski M 1992 private communication
- [11] Zalucha D J, Wright J C and Fong F K 1973 J. Chem. Phys. 59 997
- [12] Zalucha D J, Sell J A and Fong F K 1974 J. Chem. Phys. 60 1660